## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

United States of America	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL			
v. Willy Tibbs Jackson Defendant	Case No. 1:14-mj-00216			
	Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require			
Part I	– Findings of Fact			
	the defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of a federal offense a state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had xisted – that is			
a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. which the prison term is 10 years or more.	§ 3156(a)(4), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for			
an offense for which the maximum sentence	e is death or life imprisonment.			
an offense for which a maximum prison ter	m of ten years or more is prescribed in:			
a felony committed after the defendant had U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable s	I been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 state or local offenses.			
any felony that is not a crime of violence bu	ut involves:			
	arm or destructive device or any other dangerous weapon .S.C. § 2250			
(2) The offense described in finding (1) was committed or local offense.	ed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state			
(3) A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the date of conviction defendant's release from prison for the offense described in finding (1).				
(4) Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable properson or the community. I further find that defer	esumption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of another ndant has not rebutted that presumption.			
Altern	ative Findings (A)			
✓ (1) There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has committed an offense				
_✓ for which a maximum prison term of ten ye Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	ars or more is prescribed in: et seq.) .*			
	established by finding (1) that no condition or combination of conditions ce and the safety of the community.			
	ative Findings (B)			
(1) There is a serious risk that the defendant will not				
(2) There is a serious risk that the defendant will end				
	t of the Reasons for Detention			
	at the detention hearing establishes by clear and convincing			
evidence a preponderance of the evidence that:  1. The evidence offered in support of the criminal complai	nt is strong.			
Defendant has an extensive history of criminal conviction				
3. Defendant has a very recent if not current substance al	ouse problem.			
4. Defendant has a history of failure to appear as ordered				
<ol> <li>Defendant has previous violations of supervised releas</li> <li>Defendant does not have a stable residence history and</li> </ol>				

## Part III – Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date:	November 14, 2014	Judge's Signature:	/s/ Ellen S. Carmody
_		Name and Title:	Ellen S. Carmody, U.S. Magistrate Judge